

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/857,191	06/20/2001	Kiyohiko Yokota	205494USOXPCT	3013
	590 06/26/2003	·		14
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE S' ALEXANDRI			ASINOVSKY, OLGA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 06/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			d 10/		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	70, 1		
Advisory Action	09/857,191	YOKOTA ET AL.			
navioury notion	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>		
·	Olga Asinovsky	1711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess		
THE REPLY FILED 10 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application in the same application application are same applications. The same application is application and same applications are same applications are same applications.	cation. A proper reply ch places the applicat	to a ion in		
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing da	te of the final rejection.				
b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 Confected of extension and the corresponding among the shortened statutory period for replying later than three months after the mailing status of the shortened st	ng date of the final rejection THE FINAL REJECTION. \$ FR 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The approperation of the final Communication in the final Communication.	n. See MPEP oriate extension priate extension office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	,				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	• • • •	or the appeal.			
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below):			
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note		(See NOTE below),			
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	•	erially reducing or sim	plifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	ang a corresponding number of	many rejected diamis	•		
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	ction(s)				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed a	mendment		
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT	place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which were	newly		
<ul> <li>7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w</li> </ul>			nd an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	,	on or appointed.			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>3 and 5-13</u> .					
Claim(s) vithdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	: a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examin	er		
		•	<b>.</b>		
10. Other:					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The narrow limitation of a cyclic olefin from 0.1to 4 mol would require a new search. The working example 3 at page 65 discloses the lower limitation of a norbornene olefin of 4.76 mol%. The limitation up to 4 mol% is a new

James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700